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Figure 1: A forest with 100 trees and geometry shaders including displacements for bark and leaves. Fully tessellated, it would consist of over \(10^8 \cdot 10^9\) triangles. Thanks to level of detail on-demand geometry creation and ray sorting, only around 170 million triangles are actually created, without the use of caching. The geometry is created and fully path traced without instancing, evaluating global illumination from the sun and sky, and motion blur at a resolution of \(1920 \times 768\) with 32 samples per pixel in 5:04 minutes on a 2.83 GHz quad core Q9550 (left image).

Abstract

We introduce a ray tracing architecture which is able to handle highly complex geometry modeled by the classic production approach of surface patches tessellated to micro-polygons, where the number of micro-polygons can exceed the available memory. Two novel techniques allow us to carry out global illumination computations in such scenes and to trace the resulting incoherent sets of rays efficiently. For one, we introduce a technique for building the BVH over tessellated patches in time linear in the number of micro-polygons. Second, we present a two-stage ray tracing system which is highly parallel and minimizes the number of tessellation steps by reordering rays. The technique can accelerate rendering scenes of billions of micro-polygons and objects with complex reflection shaders using deferred shading.

Categories and Subject Descriptors (according to ACM CCS): Computer Graphics [I.3.7]: Three-Dimensional Graphics and Realism; Raytracing; Computer Graphics [I.3.3]: Picture/Image Generation; Display algorithms.

1. Introduction

In movie production, extreme geometric detail, complex reflection shaders and motion blur are needed to obtain visually convincing images. The Reyes architecture [CCC87] successfully deals with these challenges using a rasterization approach. The use of physically-based ray tracing is getting more and more common in the production industry, partly to be able to easily carry over artists’ experiences from real-world lighting design, accepting long render times [Gri09]. To retain the strengths of the Reyes architecture in a general ray tracing setting, we propose a two-level hierarchy approach, using reordering of computations instead of caching. After traversing a top-level hierarchy, rays are sorted to bundle those intersecting the same bounding volume. Any necessary operation to be carried out in this volume, e.g. tessellation or loading a complex shader or BRDF, is thus performed a minimum number of times. This results in significantly improved data locality which allows us to fully ray trace computationally complex (procedural) displacements efficiently, i.e. corresponding to billions of micro-polygons without instancing (see Figure 1). Existing production pipelines can easily be extended to use our method, since the algorithm works on the same two-level data, such as displaced subdivision surfaces and sub-pixel-sized micro-polygons.

Rendering such scenes requires to tessellate the free form...
patches or procedural displacements which can be quite expensive with regard to computation and memory consumption. We accelerate ray tracing and global illumination by exploiting the two-level hierarchy of such scenes: The top-level hierarchy (Section 3.1) organizes the list of surface patches. After traversing the top-level, all rays are sorted according to patches they possibly intersect, increasing locality and minimizing the number of tessellation steps. The bottom-level consists of the micro-polygons which are tessellated on-the-fly on demand. The micro-polygons of one patch are diced into a micro-polygon buffer, and a high-quality BVH is constructed in linear time in the number of micro-polygons (Section 3.2), exploiting the regular topology of a diced patch. Furthermore, the number of tessellation steps during rendering is effectively reduced by adapting the level of detail (Section 3.3).

Altogether, the architecture collapses the inherent recursive nature of ray tracing to allow for better vectorization and combines the strengths of tracing ray packets [WBWS01], fast incoherent mono-ray traversal [DHK08], and rasterization: Our technique inherently handles displacements and procedural geometry, supports simple shader writing and large depth complexity. It optimizes the utilization of memory bandwidth and coherence and furthermore is highly parallel.

2. Previous Work

A lot of work has been done to render complex geometry [SBB*06, LYM07, LYTM08] not specialized for the Reyes architecture.

The fundamental assumptions and design principles of the Reyes image rendering architecture have allowed to model and render diverse and complex content, as postulated in the original publication [CCC87]. The concepts were so fundamental, that the many extensions (e.g. [HL90, LV00]) seamlessly complemented the basic architecture. As one of the design principles was to keep expensive ray tracing to a minimum, it is not surprising that the addition of minimal ray tracing turned out to be restrictive. The most recent ray tracing extension was profoundly described in [CFLB06]. With our technique we demonstrate how a ray tracing system can combine the strengths of tracing ray packets [WBWS01], fast incoherent mono-ray traversal [DHK08], and rasterization: Our technique inherently handles displacements and procedural geometry, supports simple shader writing and large depth complexity. It optimizes the utilization of memory bandwidth and coherence and furthermore is highly parallel.

Key to our system is the reordering of rays to increase locality for ray tracing massive data which, in rather general settings, has been investigated before [LMW90, PKGH97, NFL07, BBS*09]. Our approach, however, directly benefits from the intrinsic data locality of the common two-level modeling approach: large surface patches in the top-level, and displacements or procedural details and complex shading at the bottom-level. This approach is particularly common in games, for example using parallax occlusion mapping [Tat05]. Ray tracing displaced primitives using tessellations [SB87], caches [PH96] or direct grid-like traversal [SSS00] has been investigated in depth, also on the GPU for geometry images [CHCH06]. The GPU can also be used to dice/tessellate Reyes patches [PO08]. Acceleration structures for ray tracing have been built in complexity below $O(N\log N)$ before [HMF07]. In Section 3.2 we show that our method is much simpler and matches current hardware better than their method.

In our two-level approach rays are reordered, grouping active rays which potentially intersect the same patch. Similar to the approach taken in the Kilauea render system [KS02] the resulting (ray, patch) lists can then be processed in parallel without additional caching strategies.

The Razor architecture [SMD*06] was designed to alleviate similar problems of ray tracing, to obtain better data access and computation patters. It uses current results of that time to accelerate ray tracing for the processors available by then. Today, cache lines (and fetches) get larger and data parallelism is getting wider, GPUs being the extreme example. Thus, linear memory access has become more important, and simple streaming of large blocks is often more efficient than highly recursive tree traversals and lazy builds with a lot of branches. The Razor system does not use displacements or pluggable artist-driven geometry shaders, so it is not optimized to avoid these computations, nor for the excessive level of detail needed for production. The hierarchies used here are also significantly different and more complicated than our algorithm. For their level of detail, they need a set of pairs of kd-trees for every two adjacent levels of detail, which are merged together in one acceleration structure. Additionally, at the lowest level, the vertices are stored in a 5x5 grid, which is created on demand and traversed as in [SSS00].

Our method on the other hand comes along with two levels of hierarchy, has implicit levels of detail (in the upper levels of the bottom-level QBVH), and can be diced, displaced and built with optimal memory access and data parallelism.

There exists an impressive system [PH96, PKGH97], which relies on a set of different caches for the rays, geometry and textures. However, processor architectures have moved on since then and, as we will show, caching does not work well in our setting. Additionally, our system is much simpler and transparently increases locality for rays, textures, BRDF data and geometry.

Level of detail (LOD) has been added to both Reyes [CHPR07] and ray tracing architectures, e.g. using multi-resolution meshes [SMD*06] or simplification [YM06]. For ray tracing, the choice of LOD is commonly based on ray differentials [Ige99]. We show that in the case of our architecture, simpler mechanisms may be used.
3. A Two-Level Ray Tracing Hierarchy

Our rendering system follows the two-level modeling approach commonly applied by artists who often create coarse geometry from free form surfaces and refine it by adding geometry shaders and complex reflection shaders to them.

In order to minimize the number of dicing operations we introduce an active ray buffer. Directly after traversing the top-level hierarchy, all potential intersections are sorted by patch id. Then each patches reflection and geometry shader is prepared only once for this iteration. Lastly, all rays associated with the patch are now processed in one block of computation. As new rays might be generated due to recursive ray tracing the loop of top-level traversal, sorting, tessellation and bottom-level processing is iterated as needed. Finally, the result of the first hit point is added to the accumulation buffer.

Partitioning the computation this way greatly facilitates parallelization in each of the four processing steps. Even for global illumination computations where rays are typically incoherent after the first bounce, the explicit sorting step maximizes coherence for further processing.

3.1. Top-Level Hierarchy

Our approach is based on a QBVH [DHK08], whose leaves are the conservative bounding boxes of single patches. Then the ray buffer is filled with all available rays and each ray traverses this top-level hierarchy in turn, which can be executed in parallel by partitioning the ray buffer.

Instead of directly intersecting a ray with patches, a maximum of \( N \) first intersections of a ray with leaf bounding boxes are recorded in an array that keeps tuples of the form \((\text{rayid}, \text{leafid})\). In an optimal case all possible intersections would fit into this buffer. Given a number \( R \) of rays and a memory size \( M \), \( N \) is proportional to \( M/R \). This array is then sorted by \text{leafid} in order to reduce multiple accesses to the same leaf node. This approach may resemble [NFL07], however, specific details are not disclosed in their work and only simulated memory traffic statistics are provided.

In another buffer, the last \text{leafid} is stored as an entry point for all active rays, so the actual ray buffer remains in original order which can be used to implicitly associate pixel positions and rays (see Figure 2).

For each \text{leafid} in the array, the leaf object is tessellated and the rays corresponding to the \text{leafid} are traced through the leaf object (see Section 3.2). In a parallel implementation each thread picks the next \text{leafid} as a task. Writing back intersection results to rays is either serialized by implementing a few locks for larger blocks of rays or, more efficiently, by writing the ray intersections to small buffers for each thread, which are synchronized at the end.

Once all rays are intersected, the top-level traversal is continued using the last \text{leafid} as an entry point. Since traversal is ordered by ray direction, it is always clear which children have already been processed when stepping up in the hierarchy.

Early termination is realized by intersecting the ray and a leaf bounding box prior to tessellation. The resulting number \( R' \) of remaining rays is then used to determine a larger \( N' \sim M/R' \) similar to above. This way, the process does not have to be repeated often, as the depth complexity of most scenes (the forest scene in Figure 1 has an overdraw of about 200) is reached quickly.

This scheme enables two more optimizations. First, in the presence of shaders, which require to access large memory blocks (such as measured BRDF data), many rays intersecting the respective surface will have an early out event at the same time and thus the memory does not have to be accessed several times. Second, to further reduce the need for repeated dicing over generations of rays, the early termination event can be used to shade a terminated block of rays, and spawn new ray directions, which can directly be intersected with the already diced originating patch and then be re-injected into the top-level traversal.

3.2. Bottom-Level Hierarchy

After the patches which might intersect a set of rays have been found by the top-level hierarchy, they have to be diced and displaced, evaluating geometry shaders. The resulting micro-polygons are stored in the micro-polygon...
buffer, which represents $2^m \times 2^m$ micro-polygons as a two-dimensional array of $(2^m + 1) \times (2^m + 1)$ vertices, where each four adjacent vertices define one micro-polygon.

Surface patches must implement a tessellation method, that computes the micro-polygon vertices by either sampling or subdividing a surface patch, applying trimming and displacement, and stores interpolated $(s,t)$ texture coordinates. Vertices are displaced along interpolated per-vertex displacement normals. Afterwards a loop over all micro-polygons evaluates whether or not the micro-polygon is clipped or trimmed. Unless the micro-polygon is discarded, its bounding box, color from texture, and normal by vertex differences are computed and stored.

Such a tessellation method must be aware of the resolution of the micro-polygon buffer. In case of insufficient resolution, surface patches must be split and the parts processed separately.

### 3.2.1. Construction in Linear Time

The number of $4^m = 2^m \times 2^m$ micro-polygons and their topology suggest using a complete quad-tree of axis-aligned bounding boxes as acceleration hierarchy for ray tracing.

Although in general, complete trees for ray tracing cannot be recommended [Wic08, Sec. 2.4.1], this concept is very appropriate for tessellated surface patches: Unless the patch is overly curved or extremely displaced, the array topology very well represents spatial proximity as illustrated in Figure 3 and results in fast ray tracing (see Figure 4).

While typically the construction time for a spatial acceleration structure is $O(n \log n)$ in the number of triangles [WH06,Wal07], our bottom-up construction of the complete quad-tree is linear in the number of nodes $\sum_{i=0}^{m} 4^i \in O(4^m)$ and thus linear in the number of micro-polygons of one surface patch. In contrast to [HMF07], this can be done without explicit input hierarchy and in a non-recursive manner, thus featuring a better memory access pattern.

Ray tracing the micro-polygon buffer starts by marking all axis-aligned bounding boxes of the hierarchy as empty before calling the tessellation method. After the bounding boxes of the micro-polygons have been determined, the bounding volumes of the inner nodes of the hierarchy are updated in a bottom-up manner, similar to MIP maps. This is in fact similar to the min max MIP map utilized in [CHCH06]. Note that bounding boxes marked as empty do not need to update their parent boxes and also can be handled transparently during ray traversal. Since the memory for the micro-polygon buffer data structure is allocated once for the whole rendering process, it does not make sense to optimize for memory of the empty bounding boxes or omitted micro-polygons. Rays are then intersected with the acceleration structure using single ray traversal. Improved memory access by tracing ray packets did not pay off at this stage, as even these pre-sorted rays for one patch are very incoherent with regard to traversing the bottom-level hierarchy in the case of path tracing. We tessellate down to sub-pixel size and use the boxes of the leaf nodes directly as geometry, as this accuracy is sufficient [DK06].

To assess the quality of the implicit BVH construction for patches, we tested two very simple scenes, to avoid the effect of a complicated top-level hierarchy in the figures. In Figure 4, timings are plotted for a scene containing only one patch and the displaced teapot scene (see Figure 3). The bottom-level ray tracing time can be slightly improved when using a general SAH build for the teapot (0.119 seconds SAH vs. 0.121 seconds implicit), for the simple one-patch scene, the implicit tree can even be ray traced faster (0.041 seconds SAH vs. 0.028 seconds implicit). This might be also due to the fact that our bottom-level QBVH traversal implementation exploits the special memory layout of the implicit
3.3. Level of Detail

A common and very useful technique to increase efficiency when rendering complex models is level of detail (LOD), i.e. the use of simplified geometry in appropriate cases, for example for a tree which is far away and can hardly be seen. We facilitate this by choosing the parameter $m$ from Section 3.2 accordingly.

There are three major problems when LOD is used: First, if two adjacent patches are tessellated in a different LOD, there can be cracks along the boundary of the patches. Second, a mechanism to identify the required LOD is needed. This is mostly done using ray differentials [Ige99], as a local approximation of the distance to the neighboring ray cast from the pixel raster. A third common problem is popping, i.e. objects suddenly appearing in more detail, which results in distracting, quick changes in animations. A fourth problem related to ray tracing is self-intersection, which is the problem that new rays emerged from a surface erroneously report an intersection with exactly this surface.

The problem of cracks can be avoided by stitching the adjacent geometry together [CFLB06, Sec. 6.6], but in our setting, this is not necessary. As tessellation is sufficiently fine such that the smallest boxes of the BVH can directly be used as primitives, cracks never appear: if the adjacent box is larger, it will span at least the area of the two smaller ones. Of course this requires coarse boxes to use conservative bounds during sampling of the patch. This can be achieved by min-max MIP-maps when using textures. In our case, we use interval arithmetic on the noise function.

Ray differentials are an approximation of the distance to neighboring rays hitting the same surface. In our system, we trace all rays at once. Thus, at first sight, there is no need for such an approximation, since the information about the other rays is at hand. In practice, it is a bit harder since we want to choose the LOD before tessellating a patch. That is, we need to decide for a LOD based on the patch bounding box and a group of rays intersecting this patch. Currently, we solve this by assuming uniform distribution of the ray directions and origins. Consequently, tessellation is done such that the number of resulting voxels is at least equal to the number of rays $R$ intersecting this patch: $m := \min\{k|4^k \geq R\}$. This is evaluated very fast and has the advantage that in regions of path space with blurry contributions (e.g. after a diffuse bounce), which will have a low ray density, coarse geometry (i.e. low LOD) will be used. On the other hand, specular reflections will keep rays close together, resulting in precise geometry.

This simple and fast non-recursive heuristic proved good enough for our purpose, but can fail if the assumption of uniform ray distribution is violated. Most notably, this is the case if a patch only partly overlaps the viewport. Then the visible part of the patch will have a much higher ray density as our heuristic assumes. This problem could be addressed by a small statistic to estimate the minimum distance of the rays.

If available in the rendering system, individual ray differentials can always be used per ray. Because the bottom-level acceleration structure is a complete quad-tree and the hit points are calculated as the intersection with a bounding box, the upper levels always represent the coarser levels of detail. The rest of the shading information (color from texture, uvs, normals from vertex differences) can then be filtered as needed and rays can be terminated at individual levels of detail. Note however that this will result in a slightly more complicated memory access pattern.

Of course LOD is an approximation that implies a compromise with quality, but the speedup is significant. For some extremely massive scenes it is the use of LOD which makes the difference whether a scene can be rendered or not. The forest in Figure 1 experienced a 50x speedup when using LOD compared to rendering it with a medium detailed, fixed LOD for all patches.

The popping problem is ameliorated by the fact that LOD is chosen to be sub-pixel accurate for lens connection rays. This way, no popping of directly visible geometry can take place. Unfortunately, for secondary effects as self-shadowing of a patch, popping can still become visible in form of a noticeable difference in shading. This can be alleviated by adding a-priori knowledge of rays to be spawned at the surface to the LOD decision. Other ways to create soft shadows are to complement the coarse levels with directional opacity information as in [LBBS08] or use frequency domain filtering [HSRG07].

To avoid self-intersection, the origin of the new ray has to be pushed outside the hit point voxel. This is done by an offset in normal direction of $\varepsilon = W/2^m$ and $W$ the largest box width of the bounding box of the patch during bottom-level traversal. This LOD-dependent offset is applied during traversal, to ensure different LOD between the waves of rays are considered correctly.

3.4. Motion Blur

Motion approximated by linear splines is standard in production (see e.g. [CFLB06, Sec.6.3]). Given the instants $t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_d$ defining the time intervals $[t_i, t_{i+1})$, tracing a ray at time $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$ is accomplished by instancing two micro-polygon buffers, one at time $t_i$ and one at time $t_{i+1}$. The actual bounding boxes and micro-polygons used during ray traversal then are determined by linear interpolation. We use this method for the bottom-level hierarchy.

Concerning the top-level hierarchy, the same principles can
Table 1: Timings for the forest scene with exaggerated motion blur on a core2 quad. Motion blur results in a slowdown of a factor of two for the dicing stage, and micro-polygon intersection is slightly slower. As more patches have to be diced in the presence of motion blur, also the sorting time is increased. All times are total times, except dice and bottom-level times, which are accumulated over all four cores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>motion blur</th>
<th>no motion blur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dice [s]</td>
<td>211.06</td>
<td>104.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bottom-level [s]</td>
<td>86.90</td>
<td>56.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>top-level [s]</td>
<td>27.70</td>
<td>24.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shade [s]</td>
<td>25.20</td>
<td>25.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sort [s]</td>
<td>34.84</td>
<td>28.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#diced patches</td>
<td>1497633</td>
<td>1313136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total time [s]</td>
<td>179.0</td>
<td>133.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5. Tracing Rays in Groups and by Generation

Physically-based rendering requires a lot of rays to be traced. This number is typically too large to fit the required ray buffer into main memory. Also, at the beginning, not all rays are known. Some effects (such as soft shadows, ambient occlusion, reflections and so on) require several passes to be rendered, i.e. another generation, or wave, of rays to be shot.

There are several choices, which balance depth complexity, re-dicing, and memory requirements:

- Re-inject rays as needed after an early termination event. This is done by replacing the terminated ray by a newly spawned one, instead of removing it from the buffer. This will always utilize the ray buffer well and use the (rayid, leafid) buffer for new rays rather than to tackle depth complexity (see Section 3.1).

- Group rays by generation. This fixes the memory requirements for this wave of rays, but suffers from re-dicing for each pass.

- Tile the screen. This can exploit some locality for first generation lens connection rays, but as rays quickly become divergent, re-dicing is as bad as in the previous variant.

Our current implementation uses the second approach. In general it is most efficient to trace as many rays as possible (i.e. fit into main memory) at a time.

For a simple path tracer, it is sufficient to update a single (spectral or RGB) path contribution value in the ray at each bounce. In the presence of complex reflection shaders with splitting into \( S \) sub-paths, each new ray needs to be assigned the correct weight \( 1/S \), but great care has to be taken not to exceed the buffer limits. A similar approach would be taken to implement ambient occlusion.

Bi-directional path tracing can be done by first tracing a wave of \( S \) paths from the sensor and \( T \) paths from the lights at the same time (resulting in \( S + T \) rays at a time). After that, \( S \cdot T \) connection rays have to be spawned with the respective weights, for example calculated using multiple importance sampling [VG95]. To bring the number of connection rays down to \( S + T \) as well, Russian roulette based on these weights can be used.
Figure 7: A dinosaur (taken out of the natural history museum from the lighting challenge site and converted to Bézier patches using vertex normals), with 56k patches. On the left, around 11 million micro-polygons out of 59 billion possible have been created and rendered using path tracing in 18 seconds on a core2 quad. On the right, a noisy displacement has been applied, resulting in about 34 million created micro-polygons and an increased render time of 37 seconds. Both images are rendered at 960 × 640 × 16 samples. Some of the time is spent in the (intentionally) expensive procedural displacement texture.

Figure 6: Stress test: this forest consists of two million patches, which would need a triangle mesh equivalent of more than 1050 billion triangles fully tessellated. Fully path traced with motion blur at 1920 × 768 × 16 samples in 2:24 minutes on a four core machine.

Table 2: This table shows how often patches have to be diced using a cache with 10, 100 and 1000 patches and our reordering method. Numbers are acquired for the two forest scenes with motion blur at 1920 × 768 × 64 rays. LOD m = 9. The caching method requires an exorbitant amount of memory and still does not reach our numbers by far.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1000 trees</th>
<th>100 trees</th>
<th>memory needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cache 10</td>
<td>1897385</td>
<td>1161468</td>
<td>4374 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cache 100</td>
<td>943669</td>
<td>772491</td>
<td>43732 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cache 1000</td>
<td>825808</td>
<td>606371</td>
<td>437320 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reordering</td>
<td>482405</td>
<td>354534</td>
<td>6600 MB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Results

We implemented a Monte Carlo global illumination renderer on top of our ray tracing architecture. We chose a simple path tracer with next event estimation, i.e. paths are traced from the eye and are taken through a maximum of three bounces, additionally sampling the direct light contribution at each interaction point. At each point, Russian roulette is used to decide whether to sample the hemisphere or the light sources. This way, a maximum number of width × height × samples per pixel × 4 rays is traced per frame. While uncommon in movie production, this is a good demonstration of the generality of our method.

To achieve equivalent detail in a regular mesh-based renderer, the micro-polygons would have to be dumped to a triangle soup which would exceed the capacities of these
rendering systems. We therefore do not show comparisons with these. We tested the system on a variety of scenes ranging from trivial (Figure 3 left, equivalent to 260k triangles) over simple (Figure 3 right, equivalent to 16 million triangles), moderately complex (Figures 1, 5 and 7) to massive scenes with detail equivalent to a mesh with over 1050 billion triangles (Figure 6), and scenes using reflection shaders (Figure 7, right) preferably for each thread. This cache would dominate render time.

For a single pass path tracing at 1920x768x64 rays, our implementation becomes thus a lot simpler than [PKGH97]. Since all BRDF data sets are loaded only once per bounce, they are not even necessary, and the reordering method, they are not even necessary, and the implementation becomes thus a lot simpler than [PKGH97].

As all available rays are intersected with the scene at once before shading is started, complex reflection shaders benefit from this deferred shading architecture. If a second sorting step is inserted after all ray intersections have been found, even one single data load operation per bounce can be guaranteed. This is necessary, if not all used BRDF data sets fit into main memory at the time. In the case of our test scene (Figure 10), this was not necessary, but the time spent to sort the ray buffer can almost be neglected compared to the time spent in shading (less than 10 seconds compared to 263 seconds for shading 960 × 640 × 64 rays).

We implemented a caching based system as a comparison, similar to [PH96]. Our results in Table 2 indicate that for highly complex scenes caches need to be very large. With our reordering method, they are not even necessary, and the implementation becomes thus a lot simpler than [PKGH97].

For a single pass path tracing at 1920x768x64 rays, our method needs 6120+480 MB, (68 bytes per ray plus one diced patch, note that this includes acceleration structure, textures and normals) as compared to 43732 MB needed for our geometric cache with only 100 cachelines for LOD m = 9 (i.e. 512 × 512 micro-quads with uvs and normals at two time instances) preferably for each thread. This cache would not even with 1000 lines match our dicing numbers, which dominate render time.

For an example how depth complexity is handled by the limited (rayid, leaffid) buffer (see Section 3.1), see Table 3. It can be seen that even for scenes with very large overdraw, only few iterations are required. As some rays ter-

Table 3: Tackling depth complexity: when rays are terminated early due to sorted BVH traversal, the memory can be used to store more patch intersections N for the remaining rays R. This is an example for the forest in Figure 6 (top table) and Figure 1 (bottom table), for the four waves of path tracing with next event estimation. N is capped at 100 to avoid excessive fragmentation of memory for simple cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>eye</th>
<th>bounce 1</th>
<th>bounce 2</th>
<th>bounce 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10802</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32380</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22006</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11479199</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>114531</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2110951</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>124108</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7509</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3840000</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>454035</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1153814</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>40645</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19899592</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6419230</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Impact of LOD and early ray termination due to occlusion: ratio of micro-polygons actually created to a constant LOD of m = 9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>scene</th>
<th>maximum</th>
<th>accessed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 trees (Figure 1)</td>
<td>108 · 10^6</td>
<td>170 · 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 trees (Figure 6)</td>
<td>1.058 · 10^9</td>
<td>317 · 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dinosaur (Figure 7, left)</td>
<td>59 · 10^6</td>
<td>11 · 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>displaced dinosaur (Figure 7, right)</td>
<td>59 · 10^6</td>
<td>34 · 10^6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9: Rendering time is determined by the programmable parts of the system, i.e. shading and surface patch tessellation (tessellation time is linear and takes over 100 seconds for 4 · 10^10 micro-polygons and is therefore omitted in the plot). Timings are obtained for the displaced teapot example (Figure 3).
minate, the buffer is quickly available for the remaining rays to store many more intersection candidates in the next iteration.

To get an impression of the impact of LOD, see Figure 9. The rendering times are dominated by dicing, so the graph shows only timings for top- and bottom-level traversal and shading. As expected, dicing and bottom-level tree construction seems to be linear, and tracing bottom-level rays about logarithmic. Top-level traversal does not change in this chart, as the top-level hierarchy is not affected by the LOD changes. Obviously a lot of time can be saved by reducing the number of micro-polygons per patch.

Our system does this very effectively by avoiding dicing for occluded patches altogether on the one hand, and choosing LOD on the other. Table 4 illustrates this. The comparison here is done between actually created micro-polygons and the number of polygons in a triangle mesh with equivalent detail the maximum LOD $m = 9$. Note that this number is not overly large, this LOD is also chosen for some patches by the algorithm and becomes especially necessary for heavily displaced patches. The figures show that our system can robustly handle a vast amount of geometry, which surpasses the complexity demonstrated by the Razor system [SMD*06]. Also, we do not need to keep any diced micro-polygons which avoids the problem of flushing on demand geometry. In contrast, the Razor system explicitly stores all data, and only discards everything when a memory overflow trigger is hit.

5. Conclusion

We presented a ray tracing method which is able to efficiently handle large amounts of data resulting from free form surface patches, details added by micro-polygon tessellation and data intensive reflection shaders. Expensive (in terms of computation or memory access) geometry and reflection shaders are handled well, due to reordering of computations which results in great data locality. Parallelization is simple as all rays traverse one phase before the next one is started. We introduced only one additional sorting step on the ray buffer, which has negligible impact on rendering time.

There are no restrictions imposed on ray tracing. However, there are some limitations when using the method in a rendering system. First, the shading language needs a mechanism to dispatch a ray and correctly account for its contribution by the time it finishes (see Section 3.5). For physically-based rendering, this is not a problem, since a BRDF can be handled transparently.

Second, the presented LOD assumes good importance sampling. That is, it assumes that if rays are diverging, the contributing radiance is low frequency. It will thus result in blocky shadows if sampling a small direct light source over the hemisphere instead of the geometry. If this is recognized by the rendering algorithm, it can be used as a feature to speed up low-frequency indirect illumination.

In future work, the method can be complemented by specialized rendering algorithms which better exploit its strengths by reflecting the two-level nature, such as local high-frequency ambient occlusion inside a diced patch together with a far-field approximation for global illumination, similar to [KK04, AFO05].
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